Crl. Rev. No. 973/01
(Old No. 96 of 1996)
Hon'ble Irshad Hussain, J.

None appear for the revisionist. Heard learned A.G.A. and perused the impugned order dated 16.10.1995.

Perusal of the said impugned order reveal that the learned Magistrate has considered the evidence of the complainant-Smt. Suman (PW-1) and her mother Smt. Nirmala Devi (PW-2) in proper perspective and has rightly come to the conclusion that there was no dowry demand from the side of the accused-husband and the wife had neither been ill treated nor subjected to any cruelty for and in connection with the dowry demand. Considering this, the learned Magistrate has rightly come to the conclusion of the charges under section 498A IPC and section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act were not proved beyond doubt. The judgment being based on proper and fair appraisal of the evidence on record. There is no merit in this revision. The same cannot be admitted and the same is dismissed in limine. Consign.

(Irshad Hussain, J.)

Dated: 20.4.2004
Rawat